

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/743,375	12/23/2003	Kiyohisa Ichino	Q79111	8962		
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 03/31/200 ION, PLLC	EXAM	EXAMINER			
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			LIU, I	LIU, BEN H		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	.,	2616				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			03/31/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/743,375	ICHINO, KIYOHISA	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BEN H. LIU	2616	

	BEN H. LIU	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP		•	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of		36(a) and the appropriate	extension fee
have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR.1.17(s) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any semele patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR.1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a)	sideration and/or search (see NO) v);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	OL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) thow the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6, 8, and 10-17</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/FIRMIN BACKER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2616			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment, which amends claims 1 and 10 and adds new claim 18, now specifies a "higher-layer protocol data comprising a head portion of a higher-layer protocol frame, higher-layer protocol frame, and higher-layer protocol data comprising a middle portion of a higher-layer protocol data comprising a tail portion of a higher-layer protocol data comprising a tail portion of a higher-layer protocol frame, and said type information indicating said higher-layer protocol data comprising said tail portion of a higher-layer protocol frame comprises a plurality of type information corresponding to an amount of valid data in said tail portion." The limitation has not be previously presented and now alters the scope of the claims. This new issue will require further search and consideration.

For daim 6, the applicant suggests that "Neither the portion of Kelly clied by the Examiner, nor any other portion of Kelly, discloses or suggests that the relay station receives continuous blocks and idle blocks and discards the idle blocks and continuous blocks containing bit errors to extract only valid continuous blocks, as set forth in the claims." The examiner respectfully disagrees and notes that the Murase reference discloses relay stations that contain traffic rate controllers that monitors the data forwarded from the transmission buffer and generates the control signal for the dummy data generator (see column 4 lines 58-67 and column 5 lines 1-4). The Kelly reference further discloses performance monitoring and error detection of traffic transported over an ATM network (see paragraphs 13 and 62). Therefore, the examiner respectfully maintains the U.S.C. 103(a) relection for claim 6.